

केन्द्रीय सूचना आयोग
Central Information Commission
बाबा गंगनाथ मार्ग, मुनिरका
Baba Gangnath Marg, Munirka
नई दिल्ली, New Delhi - 110067

द्वितीय अपील संख्या / Second Appeal No. **CIC/DOATE/A/2024/631432**

Shri Chandan Kumar Adak

... अपीलकर्ता / Appellant

VERSUS/बनाम

PIO, Department of Atomic Energy

...प्रतिवादीगण / Respondent

Date of Hearing : 04.08.2025

Date of Decision : 04.08.2025

Chief Information Commissioner : Shri Heeralal Samariya

Relevant facts emerging from appeal:

RTI application filed on : 10.01.2024
PIO replied on : 26.02.2024
First Appeal filed on : 25.03.2024
First Appellate Order on : 23.04.2024
2ndAppeal/complaint received on : Nil

Information sought and background of the case:

The Appellant filed an RTI application dated 10.01.2024 seeking information on the following points:-

"Information seeking regarding the evaluation of in-plant training 40% weightage marks for the Stipendiary Trainee category -II at the time of final absorption of the candidate. I need detailed information regarding how 40% weightage marks for the in-plant training evaluated."

The CPIO, Department of Atomic Energy vide letter dated 26.02.2024 replied as under:-

"Upon completion of training, the successful candidates shall be absorbed on the basis of weightage of marks as under:-

Theoretical Training - 30%

Inplant training - 40%

Final absorption interview 30%

In order to qualify for the absorption, the trainee must secure minimum of 50% marks in each of the above categories."

Dissatisfied with the response received from the CPIO, the Appellant filed a First Appeal dated 25.03.2024. The FAA vide order dated 23.04.2024 held as under:-

"4.the aforesaid appeal has been examined with reference to the RTI request dated 10.01.2024 and also the information furnished by CPIO, NFC vide letter dated 26.02.2024



18/8/25
871.

Smt. P.
A.P.O.L.
19/8/25
19.8.25

5. NOW THEREFORE it is hereby informed that detailed breakup of weightage of marks for evaluation of candidates for absorption as CAT-II trainees was already forwarded by CPIO vide his letter dated 26.02.2024. However, with regard to the in-plant training, the weightage of 40% marks is evaluated based on the performance of the candidates as trainees during their period of review.”

Aggrieved and dissatisfied, the Appellant approached the Commission with the instant Second Appeal.

Facts emerging in Course of Hearing:

Hearing was scheduled after giving prior notice to both the parties.

Appellant: Heard through audio conference

Respondent: Shri D Srinivasa Rao – CPIO/Administrative Officer was present through video conference during hearing.

The Appellant contended that he is not satisfied with the information furnished to him and sought clarity about breakup of weightage of marks.

The Respondent contended that complete information had been provided by the PIO and the FAA by their respective responses. In response to the query raised by the Appellant during the hearing about break up of weightage of marks, the Respondent pointed out that the FAA in his order had answered this aspect in paragraph 5 of the FAA's order.

Decision:

Upon perusal of records of the case and after hearing submissions of both parties, the Commission finds that appropriate reply based on information available on record and defined under Section 2(f) of the RTI Act had been duly sent to the Appellant, in terms of the provisions of the RTI Act. Considering the fact that no legal infirmity is found in the response sent by the Respondent, no further intervention is warranted in this case under the RTI Act.

The appeal is disposed off accordingly.

Sd/-

Heeralal Samariya (हीरालाल सामरिया)

Chief Information Commissioner (मुख्य सूचना आयुक्त)

Authenticated true copy
(अभिप्रमाणित सत्यापित प्रति)



S. K. Chitkara (एस. के. चिटकारा)
Dy. Registrar (उप-पंजीयक)
011-26186535

Copy to:-

Shri CHANDAN KUMAR ADAK
AT- NARAYANPUR, POST:-
BETAGADIA, Baleshwar,
Odisha-756083

← The CPIO
Department of Atomic Energy,
Nuclear Fuel Complex, ECIL
POST, Hyderabad-500062

