

**CENTRAL INFORMATION COMMISSION**

**Baba Gang Nath Marg, Munirka,**

**New Delhi -110067**

**Tel : +91-11-26186535**

**Appeal No. CIC/NFCOM/A/2017/177783**

Appellant: VP Singh

Respondent: Central Public Information Officer  
O/o the Nuclear Power Corporation of India Ltd.  
Narora Atomic Power Station, PO-Napp Township,  
Narora, Bulandshahr-UP-202389.

Date of Hearing: 09.01.2018

Dated of Decision: 09.01.2018

**ORDER**

**Facts:**

1. The appellant filed RTI application dated 29.04.2016 seeking information regarding the reasons for the variation /difference in time limit between running payment (within 25 days) and final payment (within 3 Month) with reference to Contract (GCC) Page no. 50 etc.
2. The CPIO responded 23.05.2016. The appellant filed first appeal on 06.06.2016 with First Appellate Authority (FAA). The FAA responded on 03.08.2016. The appellant filed second appeal on 03.10.2016 before the Commission on the ground that information should be provided to him.

**Hearing:**

3. The appellant and the respondent Shri Mahesh Prasad (CPIO) participated in the hearing through video conferencing.
4. The appellant stated that the sought for information has not been provided to him. The appellant stated that the he wants to know the reasons of difference in time limit between running payment (within 25 days) and final payment (within 3 Month) with reference to Contract (GCC).
5. The respondent stated that the appellant has been replied to vide letter dated 23.05.2016. The respondent stated that the information sought

for by the appellant is in the form of reasons/justification/interpretation of GCC (General Condition of Contract) which cannot be provided as it is beyond the scope/duties of the CPIO under the RTI Act. The respondent stated that the sought for information is not covered in the definition of 'information' under section 2(f) of the RTI Act. On query from the Commission, the respondent stated that their Head Quarter had finalised the said GCC.

**Discussion/ observation:**

6. The Commission is of the view that the application should be transferred to the respondent's Head Quarter. The HQ may be able to provide the details of processing of the draft contract, which inter alia, may include reasons for difference in time period for payment of running bill and the final bill.

**Decision:**

7. The respondent is directed to take action as stated in para 6 above, within 5 days of receipt of this order. The HQ should give a reply within 30 days thereafter.

The appeal is disposed of. Copy of the order be given to the parties free of cost.

**(Radha Krishna Mathur)**  
**Chief Information Commissioner**

**Authenticated true copy**

**(S.C. Sharma)**  
**Dy. Registrar**