

केन्द्रीय सूचना आयोग
Central Information Commission
बाबागंगनाथमार्ग, मुनिरका
Baba Gangnath Marg, Munirka
नईदिल्ली, New Delhi – 110067

द्वितीय अपील संख्या / Second Appeal No. **CIC/NFCOM/A/2022/155160**

Shri V. Yadagiri

... अपीलकर्ता / Appellant

VERSUS/बनाम

PIO, Nuclear Fuel Complex, D/o Atomic Energy

...प्रतिवादीगण / Respondent

Date of Hearing : 23.01.2024

Date of Decision : 23.01.2024

Chief Information Commissioner : Shri Heeralal Samariya

Relevant facts emerging from appeal:

RTI application filed on : 05.07.2022

PIO replied on : 19.09.2022

First Appeal filed on : 12.10.2022

First Appellate Order on : 08.11.2022

2ndAppeal/complaint received on : 25.11.2022

Information sought and background of the case:

The Appellant filed an RTI application dated 05.07.2022 seeking information on following points:-

“a) Provide us the land documents of Survey no 224 AC 16-30 Gts situated at Kapra Village, Keesra mandal, Medchal, Malkajgiri Dist.

b) Survey no 224 Kapra Village, Keesra mandal, Medchal, Malkajgiri Dist.”

The CPIO and Industrial Relations Officer, Nuclear Fuel Complex, D/o Atomic Energy vide letter dated 19.09.2022 replied as under:-

“Information sought is not clear. Hence cannot be provided”

Dissatisfied with the response received from the CPIO, the Appellant filed a First Appeal dated 12.10.2022. The FAA vide order dated 08.11.2022 stated as under:-

“After careful examination of aforementioned appeal, you are hereby informed that the requisite details may be obtained from the concerned District Revenue authorities.”

Aggrieved and dissatisfied, the Appellant approached the Commission with the instant Second Appeal.

Facts emerging in Course of Hearing:

Appellant: Represented by Shri C Shiva Kumar

Respondent: Shri D Srinivas Rao, CPIO and AO- III

The Appellant's representative stated that satisfactory information was not provided till date.

Shri D Srinivas Rao stated that the information sought is not held and available with them as it pertains to revenue records and may be held with the State Revenue Department.

Decision:

Keeping in view the facts of the case and the submissions made by both the parties, the Commission is of the view that an appropriate response as per the provisions of the RTI Act, 2005 has been provided by the Respondent as only such information that is held and available in the records of a public authority can be provided. Hence, no further intervention of the Commission is required in the instant matter. For redressal of his grievance, the Appellant is advised to approach an appropriate forum.

With the above observation, the instant Second Appeal stands disposed off accordingly.

Heeralal Samariya (हीरालाल सामरिया)
Chief Information Commissioner (मुख्य सूचना आयुक्त)

Authenticated true copy
(अभिप्रमाणित सत्यापित प्रति)

S. K. Chitkara (एस. के. चिटकारा)
Dy. Registrar (उप-पंजीयक)
011-26186535